On Optimal Frequencies for Reconstruction of a One-Dimensional Profile of Gradient Layer’s Refractive Index
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The problem of reconstruction of a one-dimensional profile of gradient layer’s refractive index is investigated. An algorithm for choosing a right frequency, at which a scattered field is measured, is proposed. It is concluded that at the correct choice of frequency one measurement must be sufficient. Moreover, in this case, regularization parameters of the residual functional are chosen as zero. It is shown that in case of measurements being carried out with errors, residual terms must be added to the functional.

1. Introduction

For developing efficient antireflective coatings on the solid surfaces, the structure of coating layers often requires a change in physical properties of the layers across the direction of light propagation by setting a proper gradient in this direction. This engineering application has been under thorough investigation for quite a long time, for example, through studying evaporation of very thin alternating high/low refractive index films creating an effective refractive index gradient by varying thicknesses of the layers [1, 2].

For developing the right technology of manufacturing the coating layers and testing the manufactured layers as well as for other applications encountered in optics and electrodynamics, it is often required to reconstruct refractive indices of the layers. Thus, reconstruction of a one-dimensional profile of the layer’s refractive index, which is an inverse problem, is one of the major problems in this field. Reconstruction of an unknown profile can be carried out using various types of data such as data for reflection coefficients, input impedance, and scattered electric or magnetic fields. In those techniques, the reconstructed profile can be considered as either a complex profile or a continuous profile [3].

For profile reconstructions, one of the following two approaches is usually utilized: time-domain methods [4, 5] or frequency-domain methods. The time-domain methods require a rather sophisticated and high-precision equipment for generating and registering narrow pulses, which complicates a practical application of these methods. Often the use is made of terahertz pulsed spectroscopy [6, 7]. The frequency-domain methods are used for determining the desired parameters through measuring multiple frequencies, multiple angles, and different polarizations. The permittivity profile is either approximated by an expansion series involving a finite number of elements [8] or represented in the form of some discrete values [9].

The inverse problems are ill-posed problems, and regularization methods are often used to find a solution to these problems. Methods of this kind stabilize the solution but lead to certain losses of accuracy. Convergence of the frequency-domain methods depends on the choice of the frequency range used in the inverse problem. However, rigorous criteria for choosing the frequency range have not yet been proposed [10].

Among all the possible solution techniques, analytical approximation techniques [11–13] and layer stripping techniques [14, 15] can be especially emphasized. Most often, the profile reconstruction problem is solved by an optimization method that minimizes the error between the observed and calculated data. Besides, the problems, in which the data are either incomplete or contaminated with some noise, are also frequent [16].

The optimization methods can be further subdivided into local and global optimization methods. Examples of local
optimization methods include gradient methods and quasi-Newton and Gauss-Newton techniques [17, 18]. These methods are fast but often converge to local minima due to the nonlinear nature of the problem. Therefore, these methods are recommended for being used only in case of availability of sufficient amount of priori information. Global optimization methods do not require any a priori information, but a large number of iterations are needed to reach convergence.

Of all the existing global optimization methods, which are used in electromagnetic inversion problems, the neural network technique [19], the genetic algorithms [20, 21], and the particle swarm optimization techniques [22–26] can be accentuated. Each of these methods has its own advantages and disadvantages [27–29]. In view of this, sometimes hybrid techniques combining different methods for their advantages are utilized [30, 31].

In the present work, an algorithm developed in [32] is developed further. The key component of the algorithm is determining an optimal frequencies range for the profile reconstruction. First, the flow of energy transited through the layer is measured. Next, a frequency, at which the flow has a minimum, is determined. Measurements are carried out both after the layer and before the layer. The first reason for that is that measurements at both ends provide more stability to the problem. Secondly, it must be kept in mind that in certain cases different profiles ensure identical fields at the layer exit [33], while reflected fields can differ. High precision measurements of the entire diffracted field at a chosen frequency (or a narrow frequency interval) are carried out at the next stage.

The reconstruction problem is reduced to the minimization of a certain functional. For minimizing the functional, the direct problem is solved iteratively. The algorithm for reconstruction of refractive index is validated through the direct problem is solved iteratively. The algorithm for determining an optimal frequencies range for the profile reconstruction of a certain functional. For minimizing the functional, sets of complex numbers are obtained for the values of the field at the layer exit [33], while reflected fields can differ. High precision measurements of the entire diffracted field at a chosen frequency (or a narrow frequency interval) are carried out at the next stage.

The function u(x) is continuous everywhere along with its derivatives and satisfies the equation

\[ u''(x) + k_0^2 n^2(x) u(x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \]  

(1)

where n(x) is a known continuous function. For regions 1 and 3 of the medium, function n(x) is constant and for these cases the equation is solved explicitly.

Thus, the diffraction problem is reduced to an ordinary differential equation

\[ u''_2(x) + k_2^2(x) u_2(x) = 0, \quad 0 < x < L, \]  

(2)

with boundary conditions

\[ u'_2(0) - ik_1 u_2(0) = -2ik_1 A_0, \]

\[ u'_2(L) + ik_3 u_2(L) = 0, \]  

(3)

3. Direct Problem

The direct problem for a harmonic wave of frequency \( \omega_j \) will be solved [9]. In this section, \( \omega_j \) will be fixed. Let the plane electromagnetic wave of type \( u_0(x, t) = A_0 \exp[-ik_0 n_1 x + i\omega_j t] \) fall on a layer of thickness L with known refractive index \( n_2(x) \) from a homogenous isotropic medium (see Figure 1). It is necessary to find the diffracted field or, in other words, the reflected, transmitted waves and a field excited in the layer \( u_2(x) \).

The function \( u(x) \) is continuous everywhere along with its derivatives and satisfies the equation

\[ u''(x) + k_0^2 n^2(x) u(x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \]  

(1)

where \( n(x) \) is a known continuous function. For regions 1 and 3 of the medium, function \( n(x) \) is constant and for these cases the equation is solved explicitly.

Thus, the diffraction problem is reduced to an ordinary differential equation

\[ u''_2(x) + k_2^2(x) u_2(x) = 0, \quad 0 < x < L, \]  

(2)

with boundary conditions

\[ u'_2(0) - ik_1 u_2(0) = -2ik_1 A_0, \]

\[ u'_2(L) + ik_3 u_2(L) = 0, \]  

(3)
where \( k_j = k_0 n_j \) are wavenumbers of the media. The direct problem is solved numerically. The method of approximating an integral identity [37] is applied for increasing accuracy of the grid solution of the obtained boundary problem.

4. Inverse Problem

After \( J \) measurements are carried out, the field components on the layer boundaries will be determined. The direct problem is solved iteratively for solving the inverse problem; the refractive index is updated using the value from the previous iteration. The initial approximation for \( n_j(x) \) is a straight line connecting points \( x = 0 \) and \( x = L \) with values \( n_1 \) and \( n_N \). If \( n_1 = n_N \), then the starting line is \( n_2(x) \equiv n_1 \). The functional defined by

\[
F[n_2(x)] = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left[ u_j^d(0) - \tilde{u}_j^d \right]^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left[ u_j^d(L) - \tilde{u}_j^d \right]^2 + \alpha \left[ n_2(x) - n_0 \right]^2 + \beta_1 \left| n_2(0) - \bar{n}_0 \right|^2 + \beta_2 \left| n_2(L) - \bar{n}_L \right|^2
\]  

(4)

will be minimized, where \( \tilde{u}_j^d \) and \( \tilde{u}_j^d \) are known values of the measured field for the \( j \)th experiment and \( u_j^d(0) \) and \( u_j^d(L) \) are the calculated values of the field at the current iterative step on both sides of the layer (at \( x = 0 \) and \( x = L \)). \( \alpha \), \( \beta_1 \), and \( \beta_2 \) are regularization parameters; \( \bar{n}_0 \) and \( \bar{n}_L \) are known values of refractive index on the layer boundaries.

The function \( n_2(x) \) is replaced with the vector \( n = \{n_i, i = 0 \cdots N \} \). The new functional becomes

\[
F[n] = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left[ u_j^d - \tilde{u}_j^d \right]^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left[ u_j^d - \tilde{u}_j^d \right]^2 + \alpha \sum_{i=0}^{N} \left| n_i \right|^2 + \beta_1 \left| n_0 - \bar{n}_0 \right|^2 + \beta_2 \left| n_N - \bar{n}_L \right|^2.
\]  

(5)

The method of golden section is used for minimization of the functional. The next condition is imposed on \( n_i \) is \( n_{\text{min}} \leq n_i \leq n_{\text{max}} \).

The relative error of the determined refractive index \( n_i \) is

\[
\varepsilon = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{N} \left| n_i(x_j) - n_j \right|^2}{\sum_{j=0}^{N} \left| n_i(x_j) \right|^2}.
\]  

(6)

It can be noted that for a starting profile it is more convenient to choose a line connecting values closest to \( n_2(0) \) and to \( n_2(L) \) instead of using an interval connecting \( n_1 \) to \( n_3 \).

5. Reconstruction of Refractive Index for Ridge-Shaped Profiles

The problem of reconstructing the \( n_2(x) \) profile for layers with a ridge-shaped profile will be considered in this section. The following parabolic distribution will be used:

\[
n_2(x) = -\frac{4}{L^2} \left( L^n_2(0) - n_2(L) \right) \left( x - \frac{L}{2} \right)^2 + n_2(L),
\]  

(7)

\[0 < x < L.\]

In [9], it was shown that layers with different types of gradient filling (linear, sinusoidal, exponential, and logarithmic) have similar amplitude-frequency characteristics differing from each other quite insignificantly.

The layer thickness will be taken as \( L = 2 \mu m \). The substance filling the layer will be treated as a composite material defined by the formula \( (\text{TiO}_2)\chi(\text{SiO}_2)_{1-\chi} \). Thus, by varying the parameter \( \chi \) from 0 to 1, refractive index will change in the range from 1.5 to 2.1. In our functional, all the values of regularization parameters are taken as zero.

In case of refractive index changing continuously in the whole domain, transited energy has a unique and clearly pronounced minimum. For instance, for a parabolic profile the minimum is close to 24 THz (the dashed line in Figure 2). If refractive index has a leap at both interfaces of the layer, the curve of transited energy will have multiple extrema. For the parabolic profile, this case corresponds to the solid line in Figure 2.

In reconstructing a profile of the dielectric layer’s refractive index, it is often quite difficult to take a wide frequency range because of a spread in values \( n = n(\omega) \) for various \( \omega \). Hence, it is often more convenient to use a narrow frequency interval in which \( n(\omega) \) is more or less constant. Note that in our studies absorption caused by wave passage through the layer is not accounted for. More detailed information related to absorption coefficients for a small content of TiO\(_2\) can be found in [34].

Profiles reconstructed at various frequency ranges are given in Figure 3. For all cases, the number of iterations \( M = 50 \). The best approximation \( \varepsilon \approx 3 \times 10^{-4} \) is reached at frequencies 23–25 THz (the red dashed curve). The profile corresponding to the red dashed line in Figure 3 is constructed for 50 THz \( \leq \omega \leq 52 \) THz. For this case, an error of approximation becomes worse by one order of magnitude and equals to \( \varepsilon \approx 2.2 \times 10^{-2} \). Finally, the worst approximation \( \varepsilon \approx 6.8 \times 10^{-3} \) occurs at the range 70–72 THz (the blue dashed-dotted line).

Dependence of an error of the profile reconstruction \( \varepsilon \) on the number of iterations \( M \) will be considered next. One can apparently expect that while \( M \) increases up to a certain value, the error should decrease. From Figures 4 and 5, it is clearly seen that the above expectations are totally met. In the test,
the number of nodes $N = 20$. Graphs showing dependence of $\log_{10} \varepsilon$ on $M$ for the parabolic profile are given in Figure 4. Intervals having width of 2 THz are chosen for the reconstruction intervals. The first interval 23–25 THz corresponds to the global minimum of energy transited through the layer. The solid line in Figure 4 depicts the error distribution for this case. The minimum value $\varepsilon \approx 3 \times 10^{-3}$ is reached at $M = 30$; for a further increase in $M$, the error will no longer depend on $M$.

The second interval for reconstructing the $n_2(x)$ profile is 50–52 THz. This interval corresponds to the first maximum of the transited energy. In this case, the error decreases slowly with $M$ reaching the optimal value $M = 250$. At last, the third interval is 70–72 THz. It corresponds to the second minimum of the transited energy (see Figure 2). In this case, the error also decreases with increase in $M$. However, accuracy of the profile reconstruction is worse by one order of magnitude.

Details of the profile reconstruction for the case of $n_2(x)$ changing sharply (in leaps) at the layer boundaries will be considered next. In this case, for frequencies of the main minimum of the transited energy the optimal profile is reached at $M < 30$ (the solid curve in Figure 5). The frequency interval 42–44 THz also provides a good approximation (the red dashed curve). For this interval, the minimum error is reached at $M = 470$ and coincides with the reconstruction error for $\omega \approx 20–22$ THz. The interval corresponding to the second minimum of transited energy (61–63 THz) practically does not depend on $M$ (the dotted line). The error $\varepsilon$ for the last interval is worse by more than one order of magnitude than the reconstruction errors for the remaining two intervals.

The main conclusion regarding reconstruction of refractive index for ridge-shaped profiles is the following. The optimal frequency interval ensuring the highest accuracy and the minimum number of iterations corresponds to the global minimum of the transited energy. At small shifts from the optimal frequencies, the same error of the profile reconstruction can be reached but at a greater number of iterations.

It is also worth noting that the number of measured values in the optimal frequency range (minimum of the transited energy) is not a key issue at all. The case $J = 1$ provides a similar error of approximation of the required profile as the case $J > 1$.

6. Reconstruction of Complex Profiles of Refractive Index

In this section, reconstruction of more complex profiles will be considered. In Figure 6, the original profile $n_2(x)$ is depicted by a solid line. Under the term "complex profile" a profile corresponding to the complex curved geometry is implied (e.g., a case, in which a curve has multiple extremum points). The remaining lines in the figure correspond to profiles reconstructed at various frequency intervals. The red dashed line corresponds to the profile reconstructed at frequencies 65–67 THz, the dotted line corresponds to that at frequencies 36–38 THz, and the blue dotted line corresponds to that at frequencies 89–91 THz.

The frequency interval 65–67 THz corresponds to the minimum of energy transited through the layer (Figure 7).
As in the case of layers having a ridge-shaped profile, this frequency interval can be considered as optimal. It provides the best approximation to the required profile (see Figure 6).

The general behavior of dependence of the approximation error \( \varepsilon \) on the number of iterations \( M \) remains similar to that for simple profiles. The reconstruction error at \( \omega \in (65\text{ THz}, 67\text{ THz}) \) will also be minimum (the solid curve in Figure 8). If the frequency interval is shifted from the optimal frequency interval, the approximation error will be worsened (the dashed and dotted lines in Figure 8).

Unlike the previous section, a case of discontinuous refractive index \( (n_1 = n_3 = 1.5) \) is the only case considered here. It can be noted that the obtained results will remain similar for any choice of values of \( n_1 \) and \( n_3 \). The main conclusion for these types of complex profiles is the same as for the ridge-shaped profiles: the best approximation is reached at the interval in which the transited wave’s energy has a minimum.

Just as in the case of simple profiles, the number of measurements in the optimal range for complex profiles is not a key issue at all. A measurement at a single frequency provides the same approximation as measurements carried out at several frequencies.

### 7. Influence of a Measurement Error on the Profile Reconstruction

In this section, influence of the measurement error \( \delta \) on accuracy of the profile reconstruction will be considered. Here the measurement error must be understood as a perturbation of the original data of the following type:

\[
y = y + \delta q y,
\]

where \( q \) is a random number, \(|q| \leq 1\). Data generated in the above manner will always alter at a new generation.

It certainly makes more sense to perform reconstructions based on several measurements instead of using only one measurement. The measurements can be carried out both at one frequency and at several frequencies. If the measurements undergo perturbations, the inverse problem gets worsened. In this case, regularization parameters must be chosen as nonzero. In Figure 9, results of reconstruction of the parabolic profile described in Section 5 are presented.
The reconstruction is carried out at three measurements in the vicinity of the minimum $T$. The dashed line corresponds to optimal regularization parameters: $\alpha = 0.2$ and $\beta_i = 5$. For this case, $\varepsilon \approx 0.002$. The dotted line corresponds to reconstruction using the functional with zero regularization parameters ($\varepsilon \approx 0.013$).

In reconstructing the layer profile using some perturbed data, the optimal interval for reconstruction remains to be the range corresponding to the minimum values of $T$. Unlike the case of accurately measured data in which it is more convenient to take regularization parameters equal to zero, in this case, the functional must be regularized.

8. Conclusions

For reconstruction of refractive index, the best results are obtained in cases in which measurements are carried out at frequencies close to frequencies of minimum transition of energy through the layer. The frequency interval can be very narrow such that dispersion effects of the substance filling the layer remain insignificant. The measurements can be also carried out at a single frequency. In this case, accuracy of the profile reconstruction practically remains the same.

Thus, the following strategy can be utilized. First, the flow of energy passed through the layer must be measured. Next, a frequency, at which the flow has a minimum, is selected. High precision measurements for the entire diffracted field at the selected frequency (or at a narrow frequency interval) are carried out at the next stage.

The functional used for the profile reconstruction is constructed with zero regularization parameters. However, if it is known a priori that the measurements were carried out with essential errors, the regularization parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta_i$ must be chosen as nonzero.
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